

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,969	07/31/2003	David L. O'Meara	071469-0303535	1846
909	7590 02/01/2006		EXAM	INER
PILLSBURY	WINTHROP SHAW PI	LE, DUNG ANH		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		2818	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,969	O'MEARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DUNG A. LE	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-54 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 17-31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

The previous office action has been withdrawn. This is a new ground of rejection.

Oath/Declaration

The oath/declaration filed on 7/31/2003 is acceptable.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-31 is acknowledged.

Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicants have the right to file a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims 32-54.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that see the election paper. This is not found persuasive because the fields of search for method' and device claims are NOT coextensive and the determinations of patentability of method and device claims are different, that is process limitations and device limitations are given weight differently in determining the patentablitity of the claimed inventions. Also, the strategies for doing text searching of the device claims and method claims are different. Thus, separate searches are required.

Art Unit: 2818

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

This office acknowledges of the following items from the Applicant:

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 1/8/2004 and 4/22/2005 have been considered and made of record. The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Set of claims 1-16

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty

Application/Control Number: 10/630,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1- 4, 6-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Ballantine et al. (6444592 B1).

Ballantine et al. teach a method of forming a semiconductor microstructure, the method comprising:

positioning a substrate 10 in a process chamber [col 5, lines 45-50];

flowing a process gas comprising an oxygen-containing gas [col 5, lines 10-15] in the process chamber; and

forming an oxide layer 14 on the substrate, the layer being formed in a self-limiting oxidation process [col 6, lines 25-30], wherein the partial pressure of the oxygen-containing gas in the process chamber is less than about 50 Torr [col 5, line 35 or col 7, lines 20-25].

Regarding claim 2, wherein the thickness of the oxide layer 14 [col 5, lines 35] is less than about 15 Angstrom (A).

Regarding claim 3, wherein the thickness of the oxide layer [col 6, lines 60-23] is less than about 10 A.

Regarding claim 4, wherein the thickness uniformity of the oxide layer 14 varies less than about 1 A over the substrate 14. [col 5, lines 35]

Regarding claim 6, wherein the partial pressure of the oxygen-containing gas is less than about 40 Torr (col 5, line 35).

Regarding claim 7, wherein the oxygen-containing gas comprises O2 (col 5, line 12).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the process gas further comprises N2 (col 5, line 12).

Regarding claim 10, wherein the process gas further comprises an inert gas (col 7, lines 9-11).

Regarding claim 11, wherein the inert gas comprises at least one of Ar, He, Ne, Kr, Xe, and N2 (col 7, lines 9-11).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, wherein the substrate temperature is between about 500C and about 1000Cand wherein the substrate temperature is about 700C. (col5, lines 37-42).

Regarding claim 14, wherein the substrate 10 comprises Si and the oxide layer 14 comprises SiO2.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, wherein the process chamber pressure is less than atmospheric pressure and wherein the process chamber pressure is less than about 50 Torr (col 7, lines 20-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ballantine et al. in view of the following remark.

Regarding claim 5, Ballantine et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 except for the substrate diameter is greater than about 195 mm.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the substrate diameter is greater than about 195

mm., since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 9, Ballantine et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claims 1 and 7 including undisclosed mixing ratio (col 6, line 30), but fails to teach the N2:O2 flow ratio is about 3:1.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art making semiconductor device to determine the workable or <u>optimal value for</u> the N2:O2 flow ratio is about 3:1 through routine experimentation and optimization to optimal device performance.

Reasons for Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-31 are allowed. The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 17-31 are considered allowable since the prior made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed limitations. Ballantine et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6444592 Background of Invention) and Background of Invention, taken individually or in combination, do not teach the claimed invention having the step of forming an oxide layer with high thickness uniformity, the oxide layer being formed between the initial dielectric layer and the substrate in

a self-limiting oxidation process, wherein the partial pressure of the oxygencontaining gas in the process chamber is less than about 50 Torr.

If Applicants are aware of better art than that which has been cited, they are required to call such to attention of the examiner.

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am- 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,969

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The central fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818

Page 9